# Impact Analysis Report/ RFC-Proposal

**Section 1: Meta-data**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **RFC ID** | **RFC\_NCTS\_0152** (RTC- 56793) |
| **Related Incident ID** | IM459156 |
| **RFC Initiator / Organization** | NA.BE |
| **CI** | **NCTS-P5 (DDNTA-v5.14.1-v1.00 – CSE-v51.6.0)** |
| **Type of Change** | **Standard** **Emergency** |
| **Nature of Change** | Justification for Evolutive   |  | | --- | |  | |
| **RFC Source** | |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Legal & Policy Change**  **Organisational Changes** | **Business Change**  **IT Change** | |
| **Review by Business User recommended?** | **Yes No** |

***Change Summary***

|  |
| --- |
| **NCTS P5: Updates in wording of B1898, B1849 and B1896** |

|  |
| --- |
| The current wording of B1898 is the following:  **Technical Description:**  IF <Decisive Date> is LESS than or EQUAL to <TPendDate> AND  /\*/Guarantee/GuaranteeReference/amountToBeCovered is PRESENT THEN  /\*/Guarantee/GuaranteeReference/currency = "R"  ELSE /\*/Guarantee/GuaranteeReference/currency = "N’’  In the aforementioned wording, there is a typo in the IF statement. The ‘AND’ should be replaced by ‘THEN IF’ in order to separate the below two statements:  • IF <Decisive Date> is LESS than or EQUAL to <TPendDate>  • IF /\*/Guarantee/GuaranteeReference/amountToBeCovered is PRESENT  The same approach will be followed also for B1849 and B1896. |

**Section 2: Problem Statement**

|  |
| --- |
| In the latest version of the CSE deliverable (NCTS-P5 DDNTA-v5.14.1 based on CSE-v51.6.0), the following updates should be performed:  According to DDCOM-Main Document-20.3.0-SfA-v1.00 in paragraph ‘IV.4 Numbering Convention for Rules & Conditions (R/C/T/TRT/BRT/S/G) for NCTS-P5 and AES-P1’, it has been agreed that the BRTs with [1] in the second position (e.g., B1898) must be validated for movements accepted before the end of Transitional Period and are applicable until the finalization of the movement (before or after the Transitional Period).  As a result, B1898 sets the optionality of /\*/Guarantee/GuaranteeReference/currency during the Transitional Period until the finalization of the movement (<Decisive Date> is LESS than or EQUAL to <TPendDate>).  The current wording of **B1898** is the following:    In the aforementioned wording, there is a typo in the IF statement. The ‘AND’ should be replaced by ‘THEN IF’ in order to separate the below two statements:  • IF <Decisive Date> is LESS than or EQUAL to <TPendDate>  • IF /\*/Guarantee/GuaranteeReference/amountToBeCovered is PRESENT  For this reason, for clarity purposes, the wording of B1898 should be updated.  In addition, the same issue is appeared in BRTs B1849 and B1896.  The current wording of **B1849** is the following:    In B1849 wording, there is a typo in the IF statement. The ‘AND’ should be replaced by ‘THEN IF’ in order to separate the below two statements:  • IF <Decisive Date> is LESS than or EQUAL to <TPendDate>  • IF /\*/TransitOperation/security is in SET {1, 2, 3}  For this reason, for clarity purposes, the wording will be updated accordingly.  Furthermore, in **B1896** there is also the same issue in the IF statement.  The current wording of BRT is the following:    The ‘AND’ should be replaced by ‘THEN IF’ in order to separate the below two statements:  • IF <Decisive Date> is LESS than or EQUAL to <TPendDate>  • IF /\*/TransitOperation/security is in SET {1, 3}  For this reason and for clarity purposes, the wording will be updated. |

**Section 3: Description of proposed solution**

|  |
| --- |
| The **DDNTA-v5.14.1 (incl. Appendix Q2) and the CSE-v51.6.0** shall be corrected as follows (addition of **text highlighted in yellow** – removal of ~~text with strikethrough~~ ):  The wording of **B1898** will be updated as follows:  **Technical Description:**  IF <Decisive Date> is LESS than or EQUAL to <TPendDate> ~~AND~~ THEN  IF /\*/Guarantee/GuaranteeReference/amountToBeCovered is PRESENT  THEN /\*/Guarantee/GuaranteeReference/currency = "R"  ELSE /\*/Guarantee/GuaranteeReference/currency = "N’’  **Functional Description:**  N/A  The wording of **B1849** will be updated as follows:  **Technical Description:**  IF <Decisive Date> is LESS than or EQUAL to <TPendDate>  ~~AND~~ THEN  IF /\*/TransitOperation/security is in SET {1, 2, 3}  THEN /\*/Consignment/PlaceOfLoading = ''R''  ELSE /\*/Consignment/PlaceOfLoading = ''O''  **Functional Description:**  N/A  The wording of **B1896** will be updated as follows:  **Technical Description:**  IF <Decisive Date> is LESS than or EQUAL to <TPendDate> ~~AND~~  THEN  IF /\*/TransitOperation/security is in SET {1, 3}  THEN  IF /\*/Consignment/referenceNumberUCR is NOT PRESENT AND  /\*/Consignment/HouseConsignment/ConsignmentItem/referenceNumberUCR is NOT  PRESENT  AND /\*/TransitOperation/declarationType is NOT EQUAL to ‘TIR’  THEN  /\*/Consignment/HouseConsignment/ConsignmentItem[1]/TransportDocument = ''R''  ELSE /\*/Consignment/HouseConsignment/ConsignmentItem/TransportDocument = ''O''  **Functional Description:**  N/A  **NCTS-Data Mapping- v0.43 file:** “NCTS-Data Mapping- v0.43” file will not be impacted.  Note: B1896 is also updated in terms of RFC: RFC\_NCTS\_0128\_CUSTDEV3-IAR-RTC55196.  In both RFCs the final wording of B1896 is presented.  **IMPACT ASSESSMENT:**    For the specific RFC-Proposal, the changes proposed are typos in existing BRTs. The updates are related to further corrections in the existing BRTs B1898, B1849 and B1896. Thus, the implementation of this part of the RFC shall be examined at national level by the MSAs.      **Proposed** date of applicability in Operations (**T-Ops**):   As soon as possible, at latest 1.12.2023  **Proposed** date of applicability in CT (**T-CT**):                     July 2022  **Expected** date of approval by ECCG (**T-CAB**):                  January 2022  **Impact on transition**: Yes  **Risk of not implementing the change:** Yes    **Impacted Rules/Conditions, BRTs:**   * B1898, B1849 and B1896     **Impacted CIs:**   * **CSE-v51.6.0: Yes;** * **DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Appendix Q2\_R\_C, Q2 PDFs): Yes;** * **DMP Package-v5.6.0 SfA-v1.00: Yes (incl. update of file Rules and Conditions\_v0.43): Yes;** * **TRP-5.7.: Yes;**   + DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Main Document): No;   + Functional Specifications (FSS/BPM): No;   + UCC IA/DA Annex B: No;   + CTS-5.6.1-v1.00: No;   + CTP-5.7.0-v1.00: No;   + CRP-v5.5-v1.00: No;   + ACS - v5.5.0 & ACS-Annex-NCTS: 5.5.0: No;   + DDCOM v20.3.0-v1.00: No;   + ieCA 1.0.1.0: No;   + CS/MIS2\_DATA: No;   + CS/RD2\_DATA: No;   + AES-P1 and NCTS-P5 Long-Lived “Legacy” (L3) Movements Study v1.40: No; |

**Impact on CI artefacts**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **CSE- v51.6.0** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **As described in section 3** | |
| **DDNTA-v5.14.1 (Appendices)** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **Appendices generated by CSE + Appendix Q2, Q2\_R\_C** | |
| **DMP Package- v5.6.0 SfA-v1.00** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **Rules and Conditions\_v0.43 file to be updated** | |
| **TRP- 5.7.5** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **Alignment of messages according to the updates of specifications.** | |

**Estimated impact on National Project**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | For the specific RFC-Proposal, the changes proposed are typos in existing BRTs. The updates are related to further corrections in the existing BRTs B1898, B1849 and B1896. Thus, the implementation of this part of the RFC shall be examined at national level by the MSAs. | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Document History** | | |  |
| **Version** | **Status** | **Date** | ***Comment*** |
| v0.10 | Draft by CUSTDEV | 27/09/2021 | *Draft by CUSTDEV* |
| v0.20 | Updated by CUSTDEV | 29/10/2021 | *Based on the received comments* |
| v0.21 | Updates by CUSTDEV | 25/12/2021 | *Version Updates* |
| v1.00 | SfA to NPMs | 25/02/2022 | *Extra implementation details in green* |
| v1.10 | SfA updates | 21/03/2022 | *Updates in magenta as per APO* |